

The Join of the Varieties of \mathcal{R} -trivial and \mathcal{L} -trivial Monoids via Combinatorics on Words

Manfred Kufleitner* Alexander Lauser*

University of Stuttgart, FMI
{kufleitner, lauser}@fmi.uni-stuttgart.de

Abstract. The join of two varieties is the smallest variety containing both. In finite semigroup theory, the varieties of \mathcal{R} -trivial and \mathcal{L} -trivial monoids are two of the most prominent classes of finite monoids. Their join is known to be decidable due to a result of Almeida and Azevedo. In this paper, we give a new proof for Almeida and Azevedo's effective characterization of the join of \mathcal{R} -trivial and \mathcal{L} -trivial monoids. This characterization is a single identity of ω -terms using three variables.

Keywords: finite semigroup theory; join of pseudovarieties; Green's relations; combinatorics on words

1 Introduction

Green's relations \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} are a standard tool in the study of semigroups [5]. In the context of finite monoids, among other results, they have been used to give effective characterizations of language classes such as star-free languages [3, 11] and piecewise testable languages [6, 12]. A deterministic extension of piecewise testable languages yields the class of languages corresponding to \mathcal{R} -trivial monoids, and a codeterministic extension corresponds to \mathcal{L} -trivial monoids [4, 9].

Almeida and Azevedo gave an effective characterization for the least variety of finite monoids containing all \mathcal{R} -trivial and all \mathcal{L} -trivial monoids [2], *i.e.*, for the *join* of the two varieties. Their proof is based on sophisticated algebraic techniques, on Reiterman's Theorem [10], and on a combinatorial result of König [7]. In this paper, we give a new proof of Almeida and Azevedo's Theorem. The current proof was inspired by another proof of the authors [8], which in turn uses ideas of Klíma [6]. The main ingredient is a system of congruences which relies on simple combinatorics on words.

*The authors acknowledge the support by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under grant DI 435/5-1.

2 Preliminaries

Let A be a finite alphabet. The set of finite words over A is denoted by A^* . It is the free monoid over A . The *empty word* is 1. The *content* of a word $u = a_1 \cdots a_n$ with $a_i \in A$ is $\alpha(u) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and its *length* is $|u| = n$. The length of the empty word is 0. A word u is a *prefix* (respectively *suffix*) of v if there exists $x \in A^*$ such that $ux = v$ (respectively $xu = v$); if $x \neq 1$, then u is a *proper prefix*.

For more details concerning the algebraic concepts introduced in the remainder of this section, we refer the reader to textbooks such as [1, 4, 9]. *Green's relations* \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} are important tools in the study of finite monoids. Let M be a finite monoid. We set $u \mathcal{R} v$ for $u, v \in M$ if $uM = vM$, and the latter condition is equivalent to the existence of $x, y \in M$ with $u = vx$ and $v = uy$. Symmetrically, $u \mathcal{L} v$ if $Mu = Mv$. The monoid M is \mathcal{R} -trivial (respectively \mathcal{L} -trivial) if \mathcal{R} (respectively \mathcal{L}) is the identity relation on M . We write $u <_{\mathcal{R}} v$ if $uM \subsetneq vM$, and we write $u <_{\mathcal{L}} v$ if $Mu \subsetneq Mv$.

A *variety* of finite monoids is a class of monoids closed under finite direct products, submonoids, and quotients. A variety of finite monoids is often called a *pseudovariety* in order to distinguish from varieties in Birkhoff's sense. Since we do not need this distinction in the current paper, whenever we use the term *variety* we mean a variety of finite monoids. The *join* $\mathbf{V}_1 \vee \mathbf{V}_2$ of two varieties \mathbf{V}_1 and \mathbf{V}_2 is the smallest variety containing $\mathbf{V}_1 \cup \mathbf{V}_2$. A monoid M is in $\mathbf{V}_1 \vee \mathbf{V}_2$ if and only if there exist $M_1 \in \mathbf{V}_1$ and $M_2 \in \mathbf{V}_2$ such that M is a quotient of a submonoid of $M_1 \times M_2$. If M is a finite monoid, then there exists an integer $\omega_M \geq 1$ such that, for all $u \in M$, the element u^{ω_M} is idempotent. Moreover, the element u^{ω_M} is the unique idempotent generated by u . Usually, the monoid M is clear from the context and thus, we simply write ω instead of ω_M . This leads to the following definition. An ω -term over a finite alphabet X is either a word in X^* , or of the form t^ω for some ω -term t , or the concatenation $t_1 t_2$ of two ω -terms t_1, t_2 . A homomorphism $\varphi : X^* \rightarrow M$ to a finite monoid M uniquely extends to ω -terms over X by setting $\varphi(t^\omega) = \varphi(t)^{\omega_M}$. Let u, v be two ω -terms over X . A finite monoid M *satisfies* the identity $u = v$ if $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ for all homomorphisms $\varphi : X^* \rightarrow M$. The class of finite monoids satisfying the identity $u = v$ is denoted by $\llbracket u = v \rrbracket$. For all ω -terms u, v , the class $\llbracket u = v \rrbracket$ forms a variety. We need the following three varieties in this paper:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= \llbracket (xy)^\omega x = (xy)^\omega \rrbracket, \\ \mathbf{L} &= \llbracket x(zx)^\omega = (zx)^\omega \rrbracket, \\ \mathbf{W} &= \llbracket (xy)^\omega x(zx)^\omega = (xy)^\omega (zx)^\omega \rrbracket. \end{aligned}$$

A monoid is in \mathbf{R} if and only if it is \mathcal{R} -trivial. Symmetrically, a monoid is in \mathbf{L} if and only if it is \mathcal{L} -trivial. The aim of this paper is to give a new proof of Almeida and Azevedo's result $\mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{W}$. The inclusion $\mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{W}$ is trivial since $\mathbf{R} \cup \mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{W}$ and \mathbf{W} is a variety.

3 Congruences

In this section, we introduce the main combinatorial tool for our proof. It is a family of congruences \equiv_n on A^* for some finite alphabet A such that $A^*/\equiv_n \in \mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L}$ for all integers $n \geq 0$, see Lemma 2 below. As a first step towards the definition of \equiv_n we need to introduce an asymmetric, weaker congruence $\equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}}$.

Let $u, v \in A^*$. We let $u \equiv_0^{\mathcal{R}} v$ if $\alpha(u) = \alpha(v)$. For $n \geq 0$, we let $u \equiv_{n+1}^{\mathcal{R}} v$ if the following conditions hold:

1. $\alpha(u) = \alpha(v)$,
2. for all factorizations $u = u_1 a u_2$ and $v = v_1 a v_2$ with $a \in A \setminus (\alpha(u_1) \cup \alpha(v_1))$ we have $u_1 \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v_1$ and $u_2 \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v_2$, and
3. for all factorizations $u = u_1 a u_2$ and $v = v_1 a v_2$ with $a \in A \setminus (\alpha(u_2) \cup \alpha(v_2))$ we have $u_1 \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v_1$.

By a straightforward verification we see that $\equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}}$ is an equivalence relation. The factorization $u_1 a u_2$ with $a \in A \setminus \alpha(u_1)$ is unique. Therefore, induction on n shows that the index of $\equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}}$ is finite. If $u \equiv_{n+1}^{\mathcal{R}} v$, then $u \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v$. Moreover, if $u \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v$ and $a \in A$, then $au \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} av$ and $ua \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} va$. Therefore, the relation $\equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}}$ is a finite index congruence on A^* .

Lemma 1 *For every finite alphabet A and every integer $n \geq 0$ we have $A^*/\equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathbf{R}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $(xy)^{n+1}x \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} (xy)^{n+1}$ for all words $x, y \in A^*$. We note that for $y = 1$ this yields $x^{n+2} \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} x^{n+1}$. The proof is by induction on n . For $n = 0$, the claim is true since $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)$. Let now $n > 0$. As before, $\alpha((xy)^{n+1}x) = \alpha((xy)^{n+1})$. Suppose $(xy)^{n+1}x = u_1 a u_2$ and $(xy)^{n+1} = v_1 a v_2$ for $a \in A \setminus (\alpha(u_1) \cup \alpha(v_1))$. Then $u_1 = v_1$ and both are proper prefixes of xy . Thus $u_2 = p(xy)^n x$ and $v_2 = p(xy)^n$ for some $p \in A^*$. By induction $(xy)^n x \equiv_{n-1}^{\mathcal{R}} (xy)^n$ and hence, $u_2 \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v_2$.

Suppose now $(xy)^{n+1}x = u_1 a u_2$ and $(xy)^{n+1} = v_1 a v_2$ for $a \in A \setminus (\alpha(u_2) \cup \alpha(v_2))$. Then av_2 is a suffix of xy and au_2 is a suffix of yx . We can therefore write $v_1 = (xy)^n p'$ for some prefix p' of xy . Similarly, $u_1 = (xy)^k p$ for some $k \in \{n, n+1\}$ and some prefix p of xy , i.e., we have $pq = xy$ for some $q \in A^*$. By induction, we have $(xy)^{n+1} \equiv_{n-1}^{\mathcal{R}} (xy)^n$ and thus $(xy)^{n+1}p \equiv_{n-1}^{\mathcal{R}} (xy)^n p$. We can therefore assume $k = n$. Without loss of generality, let $|p| \leq |p'|$, i.e., $p' = ps$ for some $s \in A^*$. It follows

$$u_1 = (pq)^n p \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 = (pq)^n ps.$$

Since $p' = ps$ is a prefix of $xy = pq$, the word s is a prefix of q . In particular, there exists $t \in A^*$ such that $qp = st$. This yields

$$u_1 = p(st)^n \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 = p(st)^n s.$$

By induction, $(st)^n \equiv_{n-1}^{\mathcal{R}} (st)^n s$ and thus $u_1 \equiv_{n-1}^{\mathcal{R}} v_1$. This shows $(xy)^{n+1}x \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} (xy)^{n+1}$ which concludes the proof. \square

There is a left-right symmetric congruence $\equiv_n^{\mathcal{L}}$ on A^* . It can be defined by setting $u \equiv_n^{\mathcal{L}} v$ if and only if $u^\rho \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v^\rho$. Here, $u^\rho = a_n \cdots a_1$ is the *reversal* of the word $u = a_1 \cdots a_n$ with $a_i \in A$. It satisfies $A^*/\equiv_n^{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathbf{L}$ for every $n \geq 0$. We define $u \equiv_n v$ if and only if both $u \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v$ and $u \equiv_n^{\mathcal{L}} v$. The following lemma puts together some properties of the finite index congruence \equiv_n .

Lemma 2 *For every finite alphabet A and every integer $n \geq 0$ the following properties hold:*

1. $A^*/\equiv_n \in \mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L}$.
2. If $u_1 a u_2 \equiv_{n+1} v_1 a v_2$ for $a \in A \setminus (\alpha(u_1) \cup \alpha(v_1))$, then $u_1 \equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} v_1$ and $u_2 \equiv_n v_2$.
3. If $u_1 a u_2 \equiv_{n+1} v_1 a v_2$ for $a \in A \setminus (\alpha(u_2) \cup \alpha(v_2))$, then $u_1 \equiv_n v_1$ and $u_2 \equiv_n^{\mathcal{L}} v_2$.

Proof. “1”: We have $A^*/\equiv_n \in \mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L}$ since it is a submonoid of $(A^*/\equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}}) \times (A^*/\equiv_n^{\mathcal{L}})$, and $A^*/\equiv_n^{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $A^*/\equiv_n^{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathbf{L}$ by Lemma 1 and its left-right dual. The properties “2” and “3” trivially follow from the definition of \equiv_n . \square

4 An Equation for the Join

The goal of this section is to prove $\mathbf{W} \subseteq \mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L}$. By Lemma 2 it suffices to show that for every A -generated monoid $M \in \mathbf{W}$ there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that M is a quotient of A^*/\equiv_n . The outline of the proof is as follows. First, in Lemma 3, we give a substitution rule valid in \mathbf{W} . Then, in Lemma 5, we show that \equiv_n -equivalence allows a factorization satisfying the premise for applying this substitution rule; this relies on a property of \mathbf{W} shown in Lemma 4. Finally, in Theorem 6, all the ingredients are put together.

Lemma 3 *Let $M \in \mathbf{W}$ and let $u, v, x \in M$. If $u \mathcal{R} ux$ and $v \mathcal{L} xv$, then $uxv = uv$.*

Proof. Since $u \mathcal{R} ux$ and $v \mathcal{L} xv$, there exist $y, z \in M$ with $u = uxy$ and $v = z xv$. In particular, we have $u = u(xy)^\omega$ and $v = (zx)^\omega v$. By $M \in \mathbf{W}$ we conclude $uxv = u(xy)^\omega x(zx)^\omega v = u(xy)^\omega (zx)^\omega v = uv$. \square

We will apply the previous lemma as follows. Let $M \in \mathbf{W}$ and $u, v, s, t \in M$ such that $u \mathcal{R} us \mathcal{R} ut$ and $v \mathcal{L} sv \mathcal{L} tv$. Then $usv = utv$ since $usv = uv$ and $utv = uv$ by Lemma 3. The \mathcal{R} -equivalences and \mathcal{L} -equivalences for being able to apply this substitution rule are established in Lemma 5. Before, we give a simple property of \mathbf{W} . It is the link between Green's relations and the congruence \equiv_n .

Lemma 4 *Let $M \in \mathbf{W}$ and let $u, v, a \in M$. If $u \mathcal{R} v \mathcal{R} va$, then $u \mathcal{R} ua$. If $u \mathcal{L} v \mathcal{L} av$, then $u \mathcal{L} au$.*

Proof. Since $u \mathcal{R} v$ and $u \mathcal{R} va$, there exist $x, y \in M$ with $v = ux$ and $u = vay$. Now, $u = uxay = u(xay)^{2\omega+1} = u(xay)^\omega x(ayx)^\omega ay = u(xay)^\omega (ayx)^\omega ay = u(ayx)^\omega ay \in uaM$ where the fourth equality uses $M \in \mathbf{W}$. This shows $uM \subseteq uaM$ and thus $u \mathcal{R} ua$. The second implication is left-right symmetric. \square

The intuitive interpretation of the algebraic statement in Lemma 4 is the following: For $M \in \mathbf{W}$ it only depends on the element a and the \mathcal{R} -class of u whether $u \mathcal{R} ua$ or not (but not on the element u itself). The statement for \mathcal{L} -classes is analogous.

Lemma 5 *Let $M \in \mathbf{W}$ and let $\varphi : A^* \rightarrow M$ be a homomorphism. If $u \equiv_n v$ for $n \geq 2|M|$, then there exist factorizations $u = a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} s_{\ell-1} a_\ell$ and $v = a_1 t_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell$ with $a_i \in A$ and $s_i, t_i \in A^*$ and with $\ell \leq 2|M|$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{i-1} s_{i-1} a_i) \mathcal{R} \varphi(a_1 s_1 \cdots a_i s_i) \mathcal{R} \varphi(a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{i-1} s_{i-1} a_i t_i), \\ & \varphi(a_{i+1} t_{i+1} \cdots a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell) \mathcal{L} \varphi(t_i a_{i+1} \cdots t_{\ell-1} a_\ell) \mathcal{L} \varphi(s_i a_{i+1} t_{i+1} \cdots a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To simplify notation, for some relation \mathcal{G} on M we write $u \mathcal{G} v$ for words $u, v \in A^*$ if $\varphi(u) \mathcal{G} \varphi(v)$. Consider the \mathcal{R} -factorization of u , i.e., let $u = b_1 u_1 \cdots b_k u_k$ with $b_i \in A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & b_1 u_1 \cdots b_i \mathcal{R} b_1 u_1 \cdots b_i u_i && \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \\ & b_1 u_1 \cdots b_i u_i >_{\mathcal{R}} b_1 u_1 \cdots b_i u_i b_{i+1} && \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, let $v = v_1 c_1 \cdots v_{k'} c_{k'}$ be the \mathcal{L} -factorization of v , i.e., we have $c_i \in A$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & c_i \cdots v_{k'} c_{k'} \mathcal{L} v_i c_i \cdots v_{k'} c_{k'} && \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k'\}, \\ & v_i c_i \cdots v_{k'} c_{k'} >_{\mathcal{L}} c_{i-1} v_i c_i \cdots v_{k'} c_{k'} && \text{for all } i \in \{2, \dots, k'\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $k, k' \leq |M|$ because neither the number of \mathcal{R} -classes nor the number of \mathcal{L} -classes can exceed $|M|$. By Lemma 4, we have $b_i \notin \alpha(u_{i-1})$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ and $c_i \notin \alpha(v_{i+1})$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k' - 1\}$. We use these properties to convert the \mathcal{R} -factorization of u to v and to convert the \mathcal{L} -factorization of v to u : Let $v = b_1 v'_1 \cdots b_k v'_k$ such that $b_i \notin \alpha(v'_{i-1})$, and let $u = u'_1 c_1 \cdots u'_{k'} c_{k'}$ with $c_i \notin \alpha(u'_{i+1})$. These factorizations exist because $u \equiv_n v$; in particular, by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i b_{i+1} u_{i+1} \cdots b_k u_k &\equiv_{n-i} v'_i b_{i+1} v'_{i+1} \cdots b_k v'_k \\ v_1 c_1 \cdots v_{j-1} c_{j-1} v_j &\equiv_{n-k'-1+j} u'_1 c_1 \cdots u'_{j-1} c_{j-1} u'_j \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, k'\}$. Moreover, we see $\alpha(u_i) = \alpha(v'_i)$ and $\alpha(v_j) = \alpha(u'_j)$.

We now show that the relative positions of the b_i 's and c_j 's in the above factorizations are the same in u and v . Let p be the position of b_i in the \mathcal{R} -factorization of u and let q be the position of c_j in the above factorization of u . Similarly, let p' be the position of b_i in v and let q' be the position of c_j in v . First, suppose $p < q$. Let

$$u = b_1 u_1 \cdots b_{i-1} u_{i-1} b_i u' c_j u'_{j+1} c_{j+1} \cdots u'_{k'} c_{k'}.$$

By an i -fold application of property “2” in Lemma 2 with $a \in \{b_1, \dots, b_i\}$ (which is possible for u) we obtain $v = b_1 v'_1 \cdots b_{i-1} v'_{i-1} b_i z$ with $z \equiv_{n-i} u' c_j u'_{j+1} c_{j+1} \cdots u'_{k'} c_{k'}$. By a $(k' + 1 - j)$ -fold application of property “3” in Lemma 2 with $a \in \{c_{k'}, \dots, c_j\}$ (which is possible for the word $u' c_j u'_{j+1} c_{j+1} \cdots u'_{k'} c_{k'}$) we obtain $z = v' c_j v_{j+1} c_{j+1} \cdots v_{k'} c_{k'}$. Thus

$$v = b_1 v'_1 \cdots b_{i-1} v'_{i-1} b_i v' c_j v_{j+1} c_{j+1} \cdots v_{k'} c_{k'}$$

showing that $p' < q'$. Symmetrically, one shows that $p' < q'$ implies $p < q$. We conclude $p < q$ if and only if $p' < q'$. Similarly, we have $p = q$ if and only if $p' = q'$. It follows that the relative order of the b_i 's and c_j 's in u and v is the same. By factoring u and v at all b_i 's and c_j 's, we obtain $u = a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} s_{\ell-1} a_\ell$ and $v = a_1 t_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell$ with $a_i \in A$ and $\ell \leq k + k' \leq 2|M|$.

We have $a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{i-1} s_{i-1} a_i \mathcal{R} a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{i-1} s_{i-1} a_i s_i$ because $u = a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} s_{\ell-1} a_\ell$ is a refinement of the \mathcal{R} -factorization. Note that we cannot assume $\alpha(s_i) = \alpha(t_i)$. But each t_i is a factor of some v'_j , and at the same time s_i is a factor of u_j . More precisely, there exists $m \leq i$ such that

$$b_1 v'_1 \cdots b_{j-1} v'_{j-1} b_j = a_1 t_1 \cdots a_{m-1} t_{m-1} a_m \quad \text{and} \quad t_m a_{m+1} \cdots t_{i-1} a_i t_i \text{ is a prefix of } v'_j.$$

Furthermore, $s_m a_{m+1} \cdots s_{i-1} a_i s_i$ is a prefix of u_j . Now, $\alpha(t_i) \subseteq \alpha(v'_j) = \alpha(u_j)$ and, by Lemma 4, for all words z with $\alpha(z) \subseteq \alpha(u_j)$ we have $a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{i-1} s_{i-1} a_i \mathcal{R} a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{i-1} s_{i-1} a_i z$. Symmetrically we see $a_{i+1} t_{i+1} \cdots a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell \mathcal{L} t_i a_{i+1} \cdots t_{\ell-1} a_\ell \mathcal{L} s_i a_{i+1} t_{i+1} \cdots a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell$. \square

Theorem 6 (Almeida / Azevedo, 1989 [2])

$$\mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L} = \llbracket (xy)^\omega x (zx)^\omega = (xy)^\omega (zx)^\omega \rrbracket$$

Proof. The inclusion $\mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{W}$ is trivial since $\mathbf{R} \cup \mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{W}$ and \mathbf{W} is a variety of finite monoids. Let $M \in \mathbf{W}$ be generated by A , and let $\varphi : A^* \rightarrow M$ be the homomorphism induced by $A \subseteq M$. Let $n = 2|M|$ and suppose $u \equiv_n v$. Let $u = a_1 s_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} s_{\ell-1} a_\ell$ and $v = a_1 t_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell$ be the factorizations from Lemma 5. Applying Lemma 3 repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(v) &= \varphi(a_1 t_1 a_2 t_2 \cdots a_{\ell-2} t_{\ell-2} a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell) \\
&= \varphi(a_1 s_1 a_2 t_2 \cdots a_{\ell-2} t_{\ell-2} a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell) \\
&= \varphi(a_1 s_1 a_2 s_2 \cdots a_{\ell-2} t_{\ell-2} a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= \varphi(a_1 s_1 a_2 s_2 \cdots a_{\ell-2} s_{\ell-2} a_{\ell-1} t_{\ell-1} a_\ell) \\
&= \varphi(a_1 s_1 a_2 s_2 \cdots a_{\ell-2} s_{\ell-2} a_{\ell-1} s_{\ell-1} a_\ell) = \varphi(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the substitution rules $t_i \rightarrow s_i$ are φ -invariant only when applied from left to right. This shows that M is a quotient of A^*/\equiv_n , and the latter is in $\mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L}$ by Lemma 2. Thus $M \in \mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{L}$. \square

References

- [1] J. Almeida. *Finite Semigroups and Universal Algebra*. World Scientific, 1994.
- [2] J. Almeida and A. Azevedo. The join of the pseudovarieties of \mathcal{R} -trivial and \mathcal{L} -trivial monoids. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 60:129–137, 1989.
- [3] Th. Colombat. Green’s relations and their use in automata theory. In *LATA 2011*, volume 6638 of *LNCS*, pages 1–21. Springer, 2011.
- [4] S. Eilenberg. *Automata, Languages, and Machines*, volume B. Academic Press, 1976.
- [5] J. A. Green. On the structure of semigroups. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 54:163–172, 1951.
- [6] O. Klíma. Piecewise testable languages via combinatorics on words. *Discrete Math.*, 311(20):2124–2127, 2011.
- [7] R. König. Reduction algorithms for some classes of aperiodic monoids. *RAIRO, Inf. Théor.*, 19(3):233–260, 1985.
- [8] M. Kufleitner and A. Lauser. Languages of dot-depth one over infinite words. In *LICS 2011*, pages 23–32. IEEE Computer Society, 2011.
- [9] J.-É. Pin. *Varieties of Formal Languages*. North Oxford Academic, 1986.
- [10] J. Reiterman. The Birkhoff theorem for finite algebras. *Algebra Univers.*, 14:1–10, 1982.
- [11] M. P. Schützenberger. On finite monoids having only trivial subgroups. *Inf. Control*, 8:190–194, 1965.
- [12] I. Simon. Piecewise testable events. In *Autom. Theor. Form. Lang., 2nd GI Conf.*, volume 33 of *LNCS*, pages 214–222. Springer, 1975.